

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2005

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/790,923 03/01/2004 Nancy C. Frye 063293.0110 1435 5073 7590 03/03/2005 EXAMINER BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. PATTERSON, MARIE D 2001 ROSS AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	10/790,923 03/01/2004 Nancy C. Frye 063293.0110 5073 7590 03/03/2005 EXAMINER BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. PATTERSON, MA			
5073 7590 03/03/2005 EXAMINER BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. PATTERSON, MARIE D 2001 ROSS AVENUE	5073 7590 03/03/2005 EXAMINER BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. PATTERSON, MA	PPLICATION NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. 2001 ROSS AVENUE PATTERSON, MARIE D APT LOUIT PAPER NUMBER	BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. PATTERSON, MA	10/790,923	063293.0110 1435	
2001 ROSS AVENUE		5073	EXAMINER	
ADMIDITE DADED STUADED		BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.		
	A D T I D I I T		DARED MINADED	
	DALLAS, TX 75201-2980 3728	DALLAS, TX 75201-2980		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/790,923	FRYE, NANCY C.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Marie Patterson	3728		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ja</u>	nuary 2005.			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This	action is non-final.			
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 6,7 and 9 is/are withd				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,8 and 10-20</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	f			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 January 2005</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	(

Application/Control Number: 10/790,923 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Species IX, figure 14 in the reply filed on 9/03/04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

2. Claims 6, 7, and 9 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 9/3/04. There is no cavity as claimed in claim 6 shown in the elected species. The toe section shown in the elected species is not short of the forward periphery as claimed in claim 7, the elected species shown in figure 14 clearly is shown at extending to the front extent of the toe of the shoe and therefore claim 7 is not disclosed in figure 14. Claim 9 appears to claim an undisclosed species which is clearly not shown in figure 14, the elected species.

Drawings

- 3. The drawings were received on 1/7/05. These drawings are not entered because they contain new matter, i.e. the thickness, shape, exact location, etc. of the midsole is considered to be new matter.
- 4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the midsole(s) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,923

Art Unit: 3728

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

5. The drawings are objected to because the specification states that 824 is a point at ½ the length of the shoe, however the point at 824 in figure 14 appears to be clearly in the forefoot portion of the shoe, this is confusing. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the

appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. Claims 1-5, 8, and 10-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 the phrase "having a structure...", claims 2 and 10 in their entirety, in claim 11 the phrase "having a structure...", in claim 16 the phrase "having a structure..." are functional, vague, indefinite, and incomplete because it is not clear what structural limitations applicant intends to encompass with such language, especially with reference to claims 2 and 10 it is not clear what further structural limitations applicant intends to encompass with such language.

In claims 3 and 12 the phrase "substantially non planar second surface" is confusing, vague, and appears to be inaccurate. Figure 14 clearly shows two planar surfaces

Art Unit: 3728

which are not planar with one another, but the surfaces themselves are planar. It is not clear what structural limitations applicant intends to encompass with such language.

In claim 13 the phrase "the constantly thick..." and "the decreasingly thick..." lack antecedent basis rendering the claim vague and indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 11 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Lodispoto (4681114).
- 9. Claims 1-5, 10-13, and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Robinson (4934073).

Robinson shows a shoe with an upper (34), and a sole (formed by insole 31, midsole, 22, and outsole 12 or elements 22 and 31 can be considered to be a single sole member/insole) as claimed.

10. Claims 1-5, 10-13, and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Snabb (5491912).

First it is noted that the elected species was first disclosed in parent application 09/688308 filed 10/13/2000 and therefore the subject matter is only given the benefit date of 10/13/2000. Snabb shows a shoe with an upper, insole, and sole (figure 2) with

the claimed shape (described in column 3 lines 41-50 and column 4 lines 35-40). In reference to claim 4, the location shown and described by Snabb appears to be the same location shown in applicants' figure 14 and is considered to be "substantially halfway" as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 8, 14, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Robinson or Snabb.

Either Robinson or Snabb shows a shoe substantially as claimed except for a plurality of midsoles located between the insole and outsole. Official notice is taken that the use of midsoles between insoles and outsole is extremely well known and conventional and since applicant has not shown such or provided any detail of such, it is assumed that applicant is claiming a well known and conventional midsole(s). It would have been obvious to provide a midsole(s) in the shoe of either Robinson or Snabb as is well known and conventional to increase comfort and cushioning.

13. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Robinson or Snabb.

Robinson or Snabb shows a shoe with an insole substantially as claimed except for the insole being "removable". Official notice is taken that it is well known and

Application/Control Number: 10/790,923

Art Unit: 3728

conventional to allow insoles to be removable to allow orthotic inserts to be used, insoles and/or shoes to be laundered, to adjust worn elements, etc. It would have been obvious to make the insole removable as is well known and conventional in the shoe of either Robinson or Snabb to provide any of the known benefits of removability as discussed above.

Double Patenting

14. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

15. Claims 1-5, 8, and 10-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,131,315 in view of either Lodispoto, Robinson, or Borgeas (3990159). Patent '315 claims a shoe with a sole which has a uniform thickness in the forefoot and a sloped heel surface to hold the heel lower than the forefoot in relation to the ground surface. Either Lodispoto, Robinson, or Borgeas teaches varying the thickness of an insole layer of the sole to provide sloped surfaces to reorient the foot. It would have been obvious to provide the thickness variation/sloped surfaces in the insole layer as

taught by either Lodispoto, Robinson, or Borgeas in the shoe claimed in Patent '315 to allow a prefabricated shoe to be easily adjusted.

Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments filed 1/7/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicants' arguments directed towards Lodispoto, Lodispoto clearly shows that the insole has a heel portion at number 24 which is thinner than the forefoot portion and therefore the insole of Lodispoto is clearly capable of functioning as claimed.

In response to applicants' arguments directed towards Robinson, it is noted that there is no limitation in the claims which negates an insole to be formed as a laminate structure with multiple layers which may or may not include a wedge element as a layer of the insole.

In response to applicants' arugments directed towards Snabb, Snabb clearly states that an insole is the layer which has the structure as claimed, this is specifically discussed and clearly disclosed in Snabb in column 3 lines 41-50 and column 4 lines 35-40.

Conclusion

17. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3728

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

1. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at (703) 872-9306 (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner Marie Patterson of Art Unit 3728 at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4559. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM Mon-Wed.

> Marie Patterson Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3728